

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
JEFFREY S. MELCHER
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER, PLLC
2000 M. STREET, N.W.
7TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307

PCT

REC'D 17 OCT 2005

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) **12 OCT 2005**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

62226-PCT-AM2

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.

PCT/US05/00073

International filing date (day/month/year)

05 January 2005 (05.01.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)

05 January 2004 (05.01.2004)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): G01N 31/00 and US Cl.: 702/22

Applicant

MILLS, RANDELL L.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

Carol S. W. Tsai

Telephone No. (571) 272-2224

DEBORAH A. THOMAS
PARALEGAL SPECIALIST

~~GROUP 1500~~

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US05/00073

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
☐ contained in international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/00073

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>16-70</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-15</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>16-70</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-15</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-70</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-15 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by JP2002008892 to Shimazu.

As to claims 1-15, Shimazu discloses a system of computing and rendering the nature of bound atomic and atomic ionic electrons form physical solutions of the charge, mass, and current density functions of atoms and atomic ions, which solutions are derived from Maxwell's equations using a constraint that the bound electron(s) does not radiate under acceleration, comprising: processing means for processing and solving the equations for charge, mass, and current density functions of electron(s) in a selected atom or ion, wherein the equations are derived from Maxwell's equations using a constraint that the bound electron(s) does not radiate under acceleration; and a display in communication with the processing means for displaying the current and charge density representation of the electron(s) of the selected atom or ion (see Solution, lines 1-6 and Figs. 6-8).

Claims 16-70 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the algorithm for the rendering of the electron of atomic hydrogen using mathematic equations.

Claims 1-70 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.